1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
З) United States of America,) File No. 19-CR-13
4) (WMW/DTS) Plaintiff,)
5) vs.) St. Paul, Minnesota
6) November 12, 2019 Brett Palkowitsch,) 9:30 a.m.
7) Defendant.)
8)
9	
10	
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILHELMINA M. WRIGHT and a Jury UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	
13	(TRIAL - JURY SELECTION)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Proceedings reported by court reporter; transcript produced by computer.

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>	
2	For the Plaintiff:	Department of Justice Civil Rights Division
3		CHRISTOPHER J. PERRAS, ESQ. ZACHARY DEMBO, ESQ.
4		601 D Street Northwest Washington, D.C. 20579
5 6	For the Defendant:	Ellis Law Office
0 7		DEBORAH K. ELLIS, ESQ. Suite 1500 101 East Fifth Street
8		St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
9		Short Law Firm KEVIN J. SHORT, ESQ.
10		Suite 2860 150 South Fifth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
11	Court Reporter:	LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR
12		Suite 146 316 North Robert Street
13		St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	the United States.	
2	MR. PERRAS: Thank you, Your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: You're welcome.	
4	MR. PERRAS: Good afternoon, everyone.	
5	JURORS: (Good afternoon.)	
6	MR. PERRAS: My name is Christopher Perras, and I	
7	am one of the prosecutors in this case. What I am going to	
8	do now is I am going to ask you follow-up questions based on	
9	the answers you gave in your jury questionnaires. But	
10	before I do that, I did want to address a common	
11	misconception about jury selection or voir dire.	
12	A lot of people think voir dire, the point of it	
13	is to select the best or the most educated or the fairest	
14	person in the room to be on this jury. That's not the case.	
15	I'm sure all of you are fair-minded people who would do	
16	their best to be fair and impartial.	
17	The purpose of voir dire is to identify people who	
18	might have a hard time being fair and impartial not because	
19	they're not good people, not because they're not trying to	
20	be fair, but because of the nature of this particular case.	
21	I'll give you an example. If I were asked to	
22	referee a college football game, I would do my best to be	
23	fair to both sides, but I went to the University of Michigan	
24	and I'm a pretty big fan and so while I might be a great	
25	referee for 99 percent of college football games, if I were	

1 asked to referee the Michigan/Ohio State game, I would not 2 be the best pick. 3 And so that's what we are doing here in jury 4 selection. Our purpose here is to identify people who might 5 be wonderful jurors in 99 percent of cases, but who because 6 of the nature of the issues in this case, an accusation that 7 a police officer used excessive force, might have a difficult time being fair and impartial here. 8 9 So if you're thinking that that might be you or 10 you're not sure, I want you to know that's okay. If you're 11 thinking that you think it would just be too difficult for 12 you to sit in judgment of a police officer or, on the other 13 side, if you think you just couldn't be fair to a police 14 officer, that's okay. We're not here to judge you for those 15 beliefs. I'm certainly not here to change your mind. I 16 just need to know about them so that we can select a fair 17 jury in this case. 18 So that said, let's get started. I don't have a 19 lot of time here, so I am not going to go in order. I am 20 going to skip around. 21 I am going to start with Ms. Nolan right over 22 there, Juror Number 2. I'll wait until you get a 23 microphone. 24 (Pause) 25 MR. PERRAS: Ms. Nolan, one of the answers you put

> LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR (651) 848-1225